*Previous references.*

917

File No.

Extension
R.F. 92—96

1904
( municipal )

*Later references.*
Nil

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

## Serial Nos. 1–6

PART B FOR July 1904

---

SUBJECT

Exclusion of Kankanpalya from the Bangalore city municipal limits

---

No L F 92 96

*Exclusion of the Kanakanpalya, from the Bangalore City Municipal limits*

1 From the President of Bangalore City No 112, dated 31st October 1903
Requests sanction to the exclusion of the village of Kanakanpalya from the Bangalore City Municipality and to its restoration to Bangalore Taluk

2 To the President Bangalore City No 2432—L F 92 96, dated 23rd December 1903
Requests him to state what amount of Revenue on kandayam lands in the village of Kanakanpalya transferred to the Municipality is being realized and credited to Municipal Fund and whether the Board will forego that amount

3 From the President Bangalore City No 210 dated 27th February 1904
Submits a copy of the Boards resolution *re* the transfer of Kanakanpalya to the Bangalore Taluk

4 To the President Bangalore City No 3840—L F 92 96 dated 6th April 1904
Requests the submission of a copy of the City plan including extensions showing how the present Municipal boundary line runs and how it is intended to be altered after the exclusion of the said village

5 From the President Bangalore City No 276 dated 24th May 1904
Submits a map of the City with the required particulars

6 To the President Bangalore City No 22—L F 92 96 dated 5th July 1904
Accords sanction to the exclusion of the hamlet from the City Municipal limits with effect from the 1st July 1904

Office of the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Secretariat

File No (if any)

Directions

No, date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates —To be written inside the line of margin

*Office Note.*

Local Boards Branch.

Subject :—

Exclusion of Kanakan Palya from the Bangalore City Municipal limits

Letter No 210 dated the 27th February 1902 from the President of the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City

———•———

In October last, the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, solicited sanction to the detachment of the hamlet called Kanakan palya from the Municipality & restoration of it to the Taluk of Bangalore, the grounds for the suggestion being that the people of the village cannot have all the advantages of a Municipality,

No 112 dated 1902 7/3/02

It has been reported that the village being far from the City proper there is no hope of water-supply being extended to it, nor is it feasible to undertake to repair the present cart track to the village on account of the prohibitive cost. The municipal revenue realized from it is stated to be only Rs 85- made up as follows:—

| | Rs - as - ps |
|---|---|
| House tax | = 37 - 4 - 0 |
| Lighting tax | = 7 - 9 - 4 |
| Cart | = 40 - 0 - 0 |
| Rs | 85 - 7 - 4 |

In such circumstances, the President submits his proposal to Government

2 In reply to an enquiry from this office whether the Board were prepared to forego the amount of ~~Kandayam~~ revenue on Kandayam land

in the village and under which circumstances the village now includes within municipal limits the President state that it is a small hamlet attached to the 'Adi' village of Uppaveliahalli, that the total revenue of both the 'Adi' and 'Pakkali' villages for the year Sobhakrithu (~~Corresponding to the year 1843-44~~ current year) was Rs 281-12-9 and that the Palya was one of those villages the revenue of which was assigned to the municipality by Government in 1876 to enable the former to open certain dispensaries in the City. The Board have also ~~[illegible]~~ expressed their willingness to forego the revenue on Kandayam Candy in the said Palya in the event of its being re-assessed

Chit No 210 dy 5th Feb. '02

The Chairman forgoes one municipality.

Here appears to be a mistake. The [illegible] has [illegible]

4

to the Bangalore Taluk

3 As the people of the village is reported to have complained that though they pay taxes to the municipality their village is not provided with good roads and water supply and as the amount to be dealt by the Board is comparatively small here only Rs 85/-, it is a point for consideration whether the proposal may not be sanctioned.

4 Draft sanction in the proposal is submitted for approval or other orders.

sd/- 12/3/04

14/3/04

5 Submitted to the Asst Commissioner

15/3/04

6 I have to request that Monday before granting any interview - I shall arrange with the President for an early inspection - Thu.

City Municipal Office
Bangalore 19th March 04

Dear Sir

I request you to
kindly inform the Corporation
Councillor that a sum of Rs 156
is due from the Hospital
Kanakamajalu upto the year
1902-03 as shown in the margin*

Yours truly
[signature]
Vice President

C Kuppuswami Iyengar
Personal Assistant to the Vice
Councillor.

* Jr 1894-95 0-4-0
" 1895-'96 0-4-0
1896-'97 0-4-0
1897-'98 0-4-0
" 1898-99 12-8-2
" 1899-1900 16-7-3
1900-'01 14-7-3
" 1901-'02 66-10-6
" 1902-'03 45-7-4
Total 156-8-6

[signature]
19/3/04

General and Revenue Secretariat

(To be written on one side only)

*Office Note—continued.*

the map of the Place before me on Monday 21st ult

16/3/04

7. On the morning of the 18th instant I attended the Pallyam Courtcary with the President & Vice President and [illegible] in the hamlet attached to the Mali Village of Uthandalli which is included within municipal limits. There are between 30 & 40 houses in the hamlet (I derived from house rent-rates) in which Rs 80 is to be the expenditure. (Many of the houses Rs 24 (cost of lighting 2 lamps) = 84 - The hamlet has certain specified lands situated in the Revenue accounts under Mali Village of the Uthandalli which limit - The Cop -

of usual pressure from the source will be very small - The exact amount has yet to be ascertained The Nellyn has no pressure made and connecting it with the city now has a water supply. The distance between it and the proposed Reservoir on Penmaenmawr Head near under Conway Junction is more than Quarter of a mile - The people ask for a Grand & for private facilities in the alternative for the exclusion of the Penmaenmawr from Usual benefit - This is a very reasonable request - The cost of the works asked for are "nearly" proportionate to the usual means - The further extension of the city in the direction of the Nellyn is a very remote possibility - It does not deserve serious consideration - It is true

(Fencial and Revenue Secretariat

(To be written on one side only)

Office Note—continued.

that the people did not create themselves heard earlier but that is no reason why they should not be compelled with the enclosure recommended may be sanctioned with effect from the beginning of 1904/05 on the understanding that the annual tax payable ends of 1903/04 are paid with no full.

20/3/04

Submitted to the Dewan

21/3/04

16/04
24/3/04

8

Simply because each is a part of the community it cannot have all the advantages which the rest of community enjoys

On the ground above that
from the be excluded. I shall
be glad to have what
the present boundary line round
and how it is intended that
the two villages excluded

31 III. 04

9 Please see the Dewan's order recorded in para 8 supra. A map of the City received from the Premises showing the City boundary after the transfer of Kanakanpalya is excluded from the Municipality is submitted herewith. The Village is colored Blue in the city map.

letter 7 no 276 dt 25-5-04 Mr. Mayo

7/6/04

8/6

Submitted to the Dewan as called for on 31st March 1904

15/6/04

18357/16-6-04

1906

I am not quite convinced of the necessity for this alteration - but have no objection provided the 7½ Corporation & the water Boards that it will be excluded -

17 VI 04

Submitted to the 1st Commr

1673/20-6-04

20/6/04 Noted

The enclosure marked A [illegible] to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore for information. The enclosure - They should be careful to see that they are kept for the Chief Secretary.

they are required to pay they
have left it not been con
-nected with the city by
a road. What little
the Municipality realises
it spent on the Hamlet
thirty & so it loses nothing
by the exclusion. Orders
may be issued accordingly

Yours 22/6/04
~~Yours~~ orders accordingly Let-
ter see the draft before
Yours 25/6/04

A Sch
Dng 28/6

Drafted Mail
28/6

Diary No 1002, Sl No 4

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City Bangalore, 31/5 October/November 1903.

No 112
Cont one

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for consideration and early orders of Government copy of Vice-Presidents memo regarding the desirability of the village of Kankanapalya being separated from the city Municipality and rejoined to the Bangalore Taluk This small hamlet stands at a distance quite detached from the city proper and separated therefrom by the Lal bagh tank There is no good road connecting the village with the city and the construction

one would cost a large amount. — Water-pipes have not been laid in it and taking a man into that village is not convenient and entails a large expenditure. In spite of all these drawbacks, all items of municipal tax are levied from its inhabitants solely in virtue of the village being included within the Municipal limits. The villagers have been repeatedly complaining of the imposition of municipal taxes, while they do not enjoy any of the benefits for which they pay such taxes. The total amount of taxes realized from the village is only nominal, i.e. Rs 85/- per annum, while the outlay required to provide the villagers with

with water and all other conveniences is out of all proportion to the income. The Municipality is not also in a condition to carry out these improvements in the village independent of the revenue derived therefrom and levy of taxes from those people without affording them the necessary comforts which they expect in return from the Municipality seems therefore to be quite unfair.

Under these circumstances I request that Government may be kindly moved to sanction the detachment of the Hamlet in question from the Municipality and restoration of it to the Taluk of Bangalore

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt
[illegible]
President

Memo

The village of Kankanpalya is within Municipal limits The people of the village complain that though they pay taxes to the Municipality they have no road to their village nor water land on to the place I inspected this village on the morning of the 11th Instant and found that the old cart-track was under water for about 100 yards on account of recent rains To make a proper road would cost a very large amount There is also no hope of water-supply being extended to this village on account of its being far from the City proper

The Board in their meeting dated 27 March 1902 resolved to (illegible)

this village from water-tax as water cannot be supplied to it

The Municipal revenue realized from this village is only about Rs 85/- per annum as noted in the margin*

There seems to be no necessity that this village should remain within municipal limits, as the people of the place cannot have all the advantages of a Municipality

So, I think that this village may be excluded from the Municipal limits and added on to the Taluk.

24th October 1903

Sd) P Palpu.
Vice-President

* House-tax Rs 37-14-0
Lighting-tax 7-9-4
Cart (approximately) 40-0-0

Copy

Lett Frosty
President

WRE

7

34
S. No. 112

To

The President of
the Municipal Commission
Bangalore City

His letter No 112 dated
the 31st October '03 requesting that the village
of Kanakanpalya may be
separated from the City
Municipality and rejoined
to the Bangalore Taluk

2432
L.7 92 – 96 / 23/12/03

With reference to
the above, has the honour
to request that the
President will be good
enough to state what
amount of revenue on
Kandayam lands in the
village of Kanakanpalya
transferred to the Municipality in Pro: No 2327 / Rev 40

dated the 8th June 1876
is being realized and
credited to Municipal
Fund and every year
and whether the Boards
are prepared to forego
that amount, particularly
at present when their finances
are at a low ebb. The President is also requested to place
the matter before the Board
& submit a copy of this
resolution with a report as
to when & under what cir-
-cumstances the Village
was included within the limits

By order

16/12/03

18/12/03

Dis[illegible] no d 1936, sl no 3

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City Bangalore, 27th February 1904
3rd March

No 210
Encl'One

From

THE PRESIDENT,
*Municipal Commission,*
*Bangalore City.*

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 2432-L-792-96 dated the 23rd December last, regarding the re-transfer of the Hankanupalya village to the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the Board's resolution dated the 18th Instant agreeing to forego the land revenue realized from it

It is a small hamlet being attached to the 'Ayah' village of Hoppanahalli The Bangalore Amildar has given the

"

total land revenue of both the Asals and dakhil a villages for the year Sobhakrithu @ Rs 281 12 9 and stated that the amount realized exclusively from the latter is not ascertainable The village however being a small one it is presumed that the amount of revenue is only nominal

Kankanapalya was one of those villages the revenue of which was assigned to the Municipality by Government in 1876 to enable the former to open certain Dispensaries in the city

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

President

with 2 Sks

Extract from the Proceedings of an Ordinary Meeting of the Board dated 18th February 1904

x x x x x

1— Docket No. 2432—L F 52-96, dated 23rd December 1903, from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, enquiring whether the Board are prepared to forego the revenue on kandayam lands in the Kankanapalya village in the event of its being re-transferred to the Bangaloro Taluk as proposed by them

Resolved that the Board is willing to forego the revenue on Kandayam lands in the Kankanapalya village in the event of its being re-transferred to the Bangalore Taluk

sd/ T S Nanjappa

Vice President

Copy

President

2nd

Sl no (4)

To. The President of the Bmnl Comm^r., Bangalore City

Ref his letter no 210 dated the 27th Feb. 1902 in regard to the exclusion of Kanakanpalya from the City Municipality

3840 / 27 Dec-96 — 26/4/04

Sir

With reference to the above I have the honour to request that the President will be good enough to submit a copy of the City plan (including extension) showing the present municipal boundary line round and how it is intended to alter it after the village is excluded from the Municipality (Govt order)

By Order

3 Vas 9/5

City Police Office
Bangalore

My Dear Sir

May I request you
to kindly send [illegible]
the Shesha[illegible] on the [illegible]
the [illegible] [illegible]
village [illegible] - [illegible] this
letter no 276 dated 2nd May 1924.

2 I would also [illegible]
sending me the [illegible] village
[illegible]

The [illegible] regret [illegible]
and [illegible]

Yours [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Office of the Municipal Commission

City, Bangalore, 24th MAY 1904.

No. 276
Encl 12 maps
From

THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
BANGALORE CITY

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

As called for in your docket No.3840-L.F. 92-96 dated the 6th ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith a map of the City (including the Extensions-to be returned when done with) showing how the municipal boundary would be altered after the village of Kankanpalya is excluded from the Municipality

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

A Nagaraja

President.

T.V.

Sln (6) 16

Read -

Letter No 112 dated the 31st October 1903 from the President of the Municipal Commission , Bangalore City , soliciting sanction to the exclusion of the village of Kanakanpalya from the City Municipal limits .

2. Correspondence ending with letter No 2/6 dated the 24th May 1904 from the same Officer

ffffffffffff

Order thereon No 22 dt 7 04
07 92 96

The residents of the village of Kanakanpalya having complained against the levy of Municipal taxes without affording them any relief in the shape of good road and water supply etc , the President proposes to exclude the village from the Municipal limits of the City on the ground that the annual income, which is nominal, viz Rs 85, hardly covers the present expenditure and that it is impossible to carry out the improvements as prayed for by the villagers.

2. Government observe that kanakanpalya is a small hamlet attached to the Asli village of Upparhalli, which included within Municipal limits and contains between 30 and 40 houses. The Municipal income, as stated by the President, only nominal, being Rs 85 per annum and the hamlet has certain assessed lands distributed

in

in the Revenue Accounts over three Asli villages of which Upparhalli alone is within Municipal limits The loss of revenue from this source to the Municipality is very small and the Board are prepared to forego the same . The village has no properly made road connecting it with the city nor has it been provided with ~~a~~ water ~~supply~~ by the Municipality . The distance also is more than a quarter of a mile from the Basavangudi terminal road and the people ~~of the village~~ ask for a road and for water facilities , or ~~in the alternative~~ the exclusion of the hamlet from Municipal limits

5. Under these circumstances and having regard to the fact that the cost of the works asked for is wholly disproportionate to the Municipal income and that the extension of the City in the direction of this ~~village or~~ Palya is a very remote possibility, the Government are pleased to sanction the exclusion of the hamlet from the City Municipal limits with effect from 1st July 1904 ~~on the understanding that~~ The Municipal taxes payable up to the end of 1903-04 ~~are paid up in full.~~ should be levied & credited to the City Municipality

30/6/1904

Offg Secretary.

To 29/6/04. 29/6/04

The President of the Municipal Commission Bangalore